

Cheryl L. Alexander
158 Ridgeland Avenue
Decatur, Georgia 30030
(404) 373-5266

RECEIVED
NOV 23 1999

November 17, 1999

Wendy R. Dixon, EIS Project Manager
Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
U.S. Department of Energy
P.O. Box 30307, M/X 010
North Las Vegas, NV 89036-0307

EIS000255

Dear Ms. Dixon:

1 Regarding the Yucca Mountain Project, I am very concerned about the transportation of
nuclear waste through our local community. [I have been unaware of such a plan until a
recent article appeared in our local newspaper. It is my understanding that casks carrying
radioactive waste will be moving by train and/or by trucks throughout the Atlanta area.
2 Not only am I concerned about the danger of an accident creating a hazardous spill onto
our streets, but I am concerned as well for the Yucca Mountains themselves, the bearers
of this toxic waste.]

5 I am opposed to nuclear energy for just this fact: it creates hazardous waste that is
radioactive. This waste is extremely dangerous to human life as well as animal and plant
life for 10,000 years. We must ask ourselves in light of our knowledge of health concerns
why we continue to generate this waste. To turn any area into an uninhabitable place for
life for 10,000 years is clearly an immoral act. We must stop generating this waste in the
first place, and think clearly about what we are going to do with the waste that is already
generated before we expose huge Metropolitan areas to the dangers of this waste being
shipped through their neighborhoods. |

3 First, do we have to move this waste on land? Can this waste be quickly and **safely**
transported with aircraft? [Before we embark on the dangerous and tremendous
4 undertaking of moving this radioactive waste around, we need to have more public
meetings and venues for public input. We need more information available about our
alternatives in order to make clear-headed choices. When more people become informed
about the necessity to turn areas of our Western states into radioactive landfills,
uninhabitable for 10,000 years, perhaps people will become more concerned about
conserving energy thus eliminating the need to transport and store this threatening
substance. Local communities need to be considered before any action is taken.]

Sincerely,

Cheryl L. Alexander
Cheryl L. Alexander

1 pg.