

DEC 13 1999

# Comment on the Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement

I agree with the following statements which I have checked:



- 1  The No Action Alternatives are not reasonable. The EIS should have a reasonable no action alternative.
- 2  The EIS is inadequate because it uses outdated 1990 census data rather than current population data for Nevada.
- 3  The analysis of transportation impacts in Nevada is insufficient for making modal, corridor and route decisions.
- 4  The floodplain analysis is insufficient for corridor and route selection
- 5  The impact of stigma on tourism, recreation and agriculture based economies in Nevada should be analyzed.
- 6  The EIS should analyze the impacts of a crash between a military airplane and a nuclear waste rail car.
- 7  Other EIS would be Trespassing on Shoshone LAND

## Comments:

The Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement includes an option to construct a rail line from Beowawe to Yucca Mountain through Crescent Valley to transport nuclear waste to Yucca Mountain. DOE is required to consider all comments submitted regarding the impacts of building and operating a repository including transportation. **My comments for the record are:**

7 (continued from above)

EIS did not would be Trespassing on Shoshone LAND, if They don't get permission first from The Shoshone people first - As BLM is ~~Trespassing~~ Trespassing on The Shoshone LAND.

①