

DEC 01 1999

1... MR. McCLELLAN: My name is Brad McClellan, and I just would like to state for the record I have lived in Nevada most of my 35 years, and just very against the Yucca Mountain site and don't want to elaborate on what everybody else has already said because I agree with all of it, the negative statements anyway. I just want to add to what a previous speaker had said. I agree that this country needs better energy sources, but nuclear energy is such a volatile energy, it is an energy that requires a hundred percent perfection or the consequences are severe. No major accidents yet in the U.S., but overseas there has been, see Chernoble for that example.

2 What the previous speaker neglected to mention, which I thought was quite astonishing, was solar energy. If we spent \$3 billion researching solar and building things of that nature with the solar as the main backdrop, I just can't imagine or I can imagine actually what kind of energy solutions we would have manifested. And solar is a very low low risk energy source.

I personally find it very sad that even before nuclear sites were set up that a plan of safe storage for these spent fuel, for this spent fuel was not designed.

3... By saying yes to Yucca Mountain, the government -- I know this is not a foregone conclusion, but I believe very strongly if somebody spent \$3 billion on something, that the likelihood of them saying no to it is very slim.

So I'm assuming that the Congress and the DOE are saying yes to Yucca Mountain, and I believe by saying yes to this, continuance of nuclear energy, which is a high risk energy source, and what you are basically saying yes to the continuance of nuclear energy and the continuance and possibility of another Yucca Mountain.

4 The transportation of the spent nuclear fuel in a consistently safe manner is not possible, in my opinion, simply because human beings will be at the helm of these trains and trucks, and as humans we make mistakes. In this situation, something so volatile as nuclear energy, all it takes is one mistake and we may have a Chernoble on our hands.

This transportation system must be a guaranteed 100 percent safe system, and there is no way to do that.

5... Finally, and someone had mentioned this earlier, Yucca Mountain is in an area of high earthquake activity. And not too long ago, I believe - I don't know the dates - but this year there was a quake

...5 registering 6.4 in that area. Someone at the DOE had said that that was caused or possibly caused by
explosions being set off. I don't know that. But in this scenario there is no way to guarantee the safety of
the place.]

[I just want to end it with some questions to ponder. I want to know as a citizen, and of course you
...1 won't answer this, but for the record, why doesn't the state who creates the waste have to deal with it?]

[And what is going to happen to Yucca Mountain if it is not found as a viable site? Are they really
...3 going to abandon something they have spent \$3 billion on?]

[Another thing, and I might be ignorant about this, but I want to know, why isn't this Yucca
6 Mountain on the ballot for citizens to vote on? Maybe it has been. I don't know. I have never read it
anywhere.]

Thank you.

(2)