



EIS000932

To: EISRYM/RWDOE
cc:

RECEIVED

JAN 21 2000

Subject: EIS Comment

January 21, 2000 10:47:01

IP address: 152.163.213.62

The Commentors Name:
---->Mr. Henry C. Osiek

The Commentors Address:
---->9429 Sonora Ave.
---->Brentwood, Missouri 63144

Email Information:
---->hco6@aol.com
----> Add commentor to the mailing list : on

Contact Information:
----> fax number :
----> phone number : 3149621828
----> organization :
----> position :

Comment Text :

1 | -->It is the responsibility of the Federal Government to provide long-term storage of
| spent fuel. It is imperative that these materials be transported to the storage
| facility in a safe and timely manner. Some things to be considered: |

2 | 1. Utilities are running out of wet-storage facilities. Numerous on-site engineering
| solutions such as high-density storage racks and dry-storage containers can extend the
| capability, but should not be considered a longterm storage option. I believe on-site
| storage represents more risk than transportation in approved casks. |

1 | 2. Many people are not aware of the safety features designed into the transport
continued | casks. There are a number of materials currently transported by rail and truck
| through this area that represent a more significant risk -- causic chemicals,
| chlorine, explosives, military ordinance, etc. |

3 | 3. The spent fuel must be transported. While the transportation casks provide a high
| degree of safety, common sense dictates that the routes should be planned to minimize
| exposure to high population centers. |
