

29 August 1999

EIS000959

Wendy R. Dixon  
E.I.S. Project Manager  
Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office  
U.S. Department of Energy  
Las Vegas, NV

RECEIVED

JAN 25 2000

Dear Ms. Dixon:

1... [We are strongly opposed to the nuclear industry's ongoing effort to establish a "permanent" high-level nuclear waste facility at Yucca Mountain] for the following reasons:

- 2 1. The very great threat to Nevada's and Nevadan's long term health and safety;
- 3 2. The dump will have far reaching consequences that no one can predict for tens of thousands of years;
- 4 3. It is only fair that those states that have tolerated and/or encouraged the development of commercial high level nuclear power plants/companies should be the receptors of the waste that has been produced;
- 5 4. The transport of nuclear waste from other states to Nevada presents a "high risk" to other communities/states being contaminated wherever and whenever railroads or highways are utilized across the nation! Why endanger millions of more lives? ? ?

4 cont. Surely, it is only fair that the states which benefit from nuclear power should be responsible for its consequences -- not we Nevadans nor any other single state.

1 cont. Although Nevada is a large desert state and "thinly" populated by most standards, we value our health and safety, as does everyone, and we should not be punished just so irresponsible nuclear energy companies can have a "free ride."

4 cont. A credo of the Republican party, which presently controls Congress, advocates that the U.S. Government should stay out of the affairs of State Governments. If that is their philosophy, then the U.S. Department of Energy should insist that each state take responsibility for disposing of the nuclear waste generated within its own boundaries.

Sincerely yours,

*Maie Nygren*

Ms. Maie Nygren  
6800 Mission Road  
Fallon, NV 89406

*Myrl Nygren*

Ms. Myrl Nygren  
6800 Mission Road  
Fallon, NV 89406

cc: Senator R. Bryan, Senator H. Reid, Congressman J. Gibbons.

EIS000959

Maie Nygren

This letter is coming late to you because we first used the address provided by Senator Bryan; the Post Office returned it to us; we sent it to Senator Bryan and asked him to forward

it to the correct address; he returned it. Needless to say, our sentiments have not changed.

Maie Nygren

19 January 2000