

Naomi Lewis

02/02/2000 07:41 AM

EIS001111

To: Bonnie Fogdall/YM/RWDOE@CRWMS  
cc:

RECEIVED

Subject: YMP Comments Posting

FEB 02 2000

----- Forwarded by Naomi Lewis/YM/RWDOE on 02/02/2000 07:42 AM -----

**YMP Comments Posting**

February 01, 2000 18:54:14

IP address: 199.105.137.60  
Name: Sean Bolger  
E-mail: sbolger@poweruser.com  
Comments: Hello,

My name is Sean Bolger. Unfortunately I was unable to attend your scheduled forum in Chicago on the Yucca Mountain site. I did however want to enter my opinion on public record in regards to this proposal. I could site studies and articles about the many questions which surround the proposed dump site, but I'm sure you've already received a lot of this.

1 To me it seems pretty straight forward. To state that this site will be a safe haven for some of the deadliest and longest lasting poisons we've thus figured out how to produce (and not dispose of) for 10,000 years is an insult to the intelligence of the nation as a whole. None of us have the foggiest idea of what a time period this  
2 long truly implies. While spending six billion dollars the DOE has be unable to refute all issues which individually should halt this ill-conceived and contrived project. When all these issues are viewed collectively the answer is even more apparent: this proposal must be stopped.

The type of short sighted "we'll figure it out when we get there" thinking is why the DOE is in this predicament today. To procede with this project is an affront to the people of Nevada (who don't even use nuclear power.) This type of activity calls into question the integrity of the DOE and perhaps even the democratic principles this country was founded (and at times still run) upon.

3 It's time for DOE and the producers of these watses to figure out a viable system for nuclear containment and disposal. Presently, having limited their options to this one site, they have eliminated any outside ideas from being explored. This project is flawed and the more the public sees of this the more we understand the flaw doesn't stop with the plan. There are too many questions with far reaching economic, social, and environmental concerns: worse case scenarios where the worst case isn't even really known. This project must be halted and a new course of action determined.

Thank you,  
Sean Bolger  
Papermail:

1