

Comment on the Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement

RECEIVED

MAR 02 2000

I agree with the following statements which I have checked:

- The No Action Alternatives are not reasonable. The EIS should have a reasonable no action alternative.
- The EIS is inadequate because it uses outdated 1990 census data rather than current population data for Nevada.
- The analysis of transportation impacts in Nevada is insufficient for making modal, corridor and route decisions.
- The floodplain analysis is insufficient for corridor and route selection
- The impact of stigma on tourism, recreation and agriculture based economies in Nevada should be analyzed.
- The EIS should analyze the impacts of a crash between a military airplane and a nuclear waste rail car.

Other It is oppressive for anyone who lives near transportation routes of Yucca Mt. They

are committed by the nuclear power corporations to live in fear forever. I call it the silent Hitler of America.

The Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement includes an option to construct a rail line from Beowawe to Yucca Mountain through Crescent Valley to transport nuclear waste to Yucca Mountain. DOE is required to consider all comments submitted regarding the impacts of building and operating a repository including transportation. My comments for the record are:

The building of a train track to carry high level nuclear waste through our valley is a violation of our human rights. There is no question about this inequality. Here no one in this valley wants it so rightfully, it should not be put here or anywhere because no one wants the deadly waste on this planet anywhere. It's the greediest thing yet for big corporations to profit off of something that goes against people's health and happiness. Keep it where it is and where it can be monitored and stop making more of it.

①