

RECEIVED

010144

JUN 07 2001

1 DAVID HACKERT: My name is David C. Hackert, H-a-c-k-e-r-t. My telephone number is area code (715) 762-3253. I'm from Fifield, Wisconsin, and I thank you folks for being here tonight. And this is a great town. This is a great town not for the storing of spent nuclear fuel.

I'm also from a great town. I'm from a little town called Fifield, a lot smaller than you folks and a lot colder than you folks. And we're also a great town, a great town for the storing of spent nuclear fuel. We've always been a great town. We've always had granite, had the granite underneath us, and we always will have the granite underneath us. Unlike the other person that was up here and showed us a sample of what your proposed storage site looks like, that soft material and porous, our granite is hard. It's very hard and it's not nowhere near as porous as what your material is.

As I address you folks tonight I'm also addressing future potential people that will be looking at this hearing, such people as Mr. Spencer Abramson. And as Spencer Abramson shows this report around to other people, like Mr. Dick Cheney, the Vice President of the United States, he will also be discussing what to do with this potential problem with the President of the United States, Mr. George Bush.

2 Decisions are going to be made. Just like before when the lights were out, we have to make sure that the lights will stay on in Nevada. Such as other parts of the country too, the lights must stay on. We must start a national energy policy. And like Mr. Bush has said, and to quote Mr. Bush, science will determine what will happen with a national energy policy. Science will also determine where the greatest site in America is for the storing of spent nuclear fuel.

3... Unfortunately the Senate and the House has directed that the Yucca Mountain site be determined, you know, whether or not it is suitable or not suitable for the storing of spent nuclear fuel. The Senate and the House has directed these great people of DOE, very intelligent people, very hard working people, to study the Yucca Mountain site. Unfortunately they're not in the greatest town in America. But if they're directed to study it, by all means they will do their mission and they will do their job quite well.

A lot of things have been determined with this 7 to 9 billion dollars of investment. All this information and all these great people can be brought back to Wisconsin, can be brought back to Price County Wisconsin, the new Price is Right. This is up in northern Wisconsin, the north upper central third of Wisconsin.

Wisconsin is a great state. We have geological formations unlike what you folks have here, which is not suitable for spent nuclear fuel. Maybe in the future if things might happen you folks might be suitable. I just don't know. It's up to each and every one of you to call maybe the President, the Department of Energy,

3 cont. Spencer Abramson, and tell Mr. Abramson where the greatest town in America is. Tell your elected officials. Tell the people on the different energy committees where the greatest town in America is.

There's a lot of different things that Wisconsin can give back with this project. Project SI is a great project. This project, which is named project SI, can deliver for both the senate and the house. We can deliver new and great things. We are in a new era, a new era of change and progressiveness and open-mindedness. And hopefully as the President said, science and technology will bring us further into this century. And hopefully we can determine that the Fifield site is more than suitable for the storing of spent nuclear fuel. Like I said, we have granite, we always did, and we always will. |

Thank you for your time.