

RECEIVED

010165

JUN 07 2001

JOHN HADDER: John Hadder, Citizen Alert. It's real important that we, and I'm happy, I know this takes time for all of us to do this public process, good to have facilitation, people from the Department of Energy and the community, it's so important.

This is a new kind of project, really nothing ever been done before, so it's important that we set good process precedent. We don't want to repeat bad procedures, and that's why there's so many comments about the process. And I hope the Department and I hope the federal government is listening to that. That's very, very important. I know sometimes it seems difficult to do your science, but, hey, that's part of the whole process is that we are trying to mix the two.

1 So with that said I just have a few kind of like technical points that I wanted to put into the record real quickly. Figure 2-7 in the document talks about the upper and lower block concept. They're not entirely clear. It would be -- I think it would be better to -- they don't entirely label which one is what. One of the figure's captions doesn't really label which one is which and how they're actually going to be used. I think there could be a little more clarity on what that really is representing. Figure 2-7

2 There's no discussion of socioeconomic impact from the aging facility. I don't -- I didn't see that in the document. That's the pad where they're going to have this interim storage, so you're going to have a lot, potentially a lot of high-level waste there. Could that have a socioeconomic impact on the community? That's really kind of a different concept, the repository, so I think that probably should be addressed somewhere. There's no discussion of socioeconomic impact from the aging facility.

3 And Table 3-6 talks about worker years and radiation exposure years. Please define these for the public. I mean, I think I know what it means, but please define that either by showing how you calculate it. I think I commented on this before, show that and make sure it's clear what that language means. And Table 3-6 talks about worker years and radiation exposure years.

4 Actually I don't think I saw any impacts, possible impacts from seismic activity that might affect the aging facility. I could be wrong but I don't think I saw that in there either, so please check on that. If you've got a lot of fuel there and there's a lot of surface damage, I mean, what could happen with that situation? So that I think needs to be investigated. Actually I don't think I saw any impacts, possible impacts from seismic activity that might affect the aging facility.

5 Also the radiological impacts under accidents at the surface facility, so accidents that happened on the surface facility. It seems to be limited to the general public, as I think the figures were quoted in terms of exposure to the public. And I was wondering where the workers figure in on that one. What would be the impacts on people that are actually working there? I didn't see that in the document either. Again, that's sort of a quick review. Also the radiological impacts under accidents at the surface facility, so accidents that happened on the surface facility.

6 One final thing I'd like to read from the document, and this is just one sentence. The DOE recognizes, this is actually page 3-16 under Environmental Justice. The DOE recognizes that it could not construct and operate a repository without some conflict with Native American concerns. How interesting. What does that mean? Is there something inherent about this project, and I think that really needs to be explored in detail. If we're doing this project and we know inherently there's going to be a problem, then why are they moving forward?

Certainly there's a discussion about how we're trying to resolve those, but you know what, an inherent problem needs to be dealt with. That's fundamental. That's at the core of what's happening. Anything that's inherent is a very important, fundamental concept.

Thank you very much for listening to me again. I appreciate it, and I hope the rest of you -- I hope everyone else has gotten a lot of value out of this meeting tonight. Thank you.