

8/16 DOE

010405

RECEIVED

SEP 04 2001

(2)

**ADELINE F. TAMARO  
10124 BUTTON WILLOW DR.  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89134**

President of the United States of America  
President George W. Bush  
Washington DC 20515

July 20, 2001,

Dear President Bush,

1 Attached is a copy of the latest letter I sent to the Department of Energy to  
2 voice my opposition to using Yucca Mountain as a repository for nuclear  
waste. After the latest derailment involving hazardous chemicals, in  
Baltimore on July 18, 2001, I reiterate my concern for the transportation of  
nuclear waste across the United States. The fire caused by this accident has  
been burning for over two days. I can't begin to image how horrendous it  
would be if this were nuclear waste.

3 Even though, we are a small state, we are still your constituents and would  
hope that we you would protect us from this dangerous proposal. Moreover,  
as evidenced in my statement above, the danger is for all people of the  
United States where this waste will be transported. We should be advised of  
the routes, roads, freeways, beltways and highways over which this material  
will be carried to move it to Nevada. It is our right to know.

I thank you in advance for your help in this situation.

Yours Truly,

*Adeline F. Tamaro*  
Adeline F. Tamaro

**ADELINE F. TAMARO  
10124 BUTTON WILLOW DR.  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89134**

Dr. Jane Summerson, EIS Document Manager, M/S 010  
US Department of Energy  
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management  
Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office  
P. O. Box 30307  
North Las Vegas, NV 89036-0307

July 2, 2001

Dear Ms Summerson,

I have received and read the latest documentation from the DOE regarding Yucca Mountain. I am still opposed to it. Nothing in this material addresses the transportation routes.

As I mentioned in my first letter to you, I realize we need to do something with this waste; however, to have such a high concentration of this waste in one location is extremely dangerous. Actually, it is total carelessness and pure insanity. Taking nuclear waste from 77 locations across the country and putting it into one small area does not seem wise to me. I also know that the study is sponsored or directed by the same Department of Energy that is making the proposal and trying hard to prove its point. The beautiful booklets that I've recently received are well put together, as in a business case study by a product manager trying to promote his/her pet project or product. However, I am still not convinced. Furthermore, we are inviting enemy countries and providing terrorists the opportunity to focus and attack this "ONE" particular area to harm our country and its citizens.

My second objection is of concern to all Americans, and that is the transportation of this hazardous waste material across the United States. Everyone is impacted by this, not only the people in Nevada. We have not been informed what routes will be taken. Moreover, as stated in my original letter, I reiterate my serious concerns about trucking companies and railroad transportation. More and more, we hear of accidents involving big rigs and trains. Just recently, a train started on its own and road 60 miles before it could be stopped. I was grateful it did not contain nuclear waste.

I thank you for taking the time to read my opposition. I am sending my objection to the President, to congress and to the senate to make it very clear that I do not see how the Department of Energy can justify and prove that this is safe.

Yours Truly,

  
Adeline F. Tamaro