550484

1123 E. 26th St.
San Bernardino, CA 92404
Sept. 8, 2001

RECEIVED
SEP 14 2001

Dear Sirs,

N.R.C. approved guidelines in 1984: areas geological and ground water, characteristics, earthquake activity, proximity to water supplies, national parks, wildlife refuges and population centers. Yucca Mountain does not pass these guidelines as there are earthquake fault zones running through the repasitory site that may limit the area of the dump that only a fraction of the high-level waste may fit there.

DRAFT EIS – 2.4. "Defense in depth" – a design that would work in a manner to minimize the amount of radioactive material that could eventually travel from the repasitory to the human environment.

There never was any evidence for a safe amount of radiation.

So, it is not the time to recommend the site to the President.

On-site storage in dry concrete and steel casks that could safeguard it for up to 100 years and buy valuable time for the technological advances that may make the task of permanent disposal more manageable in the future.

The radioactive waste should stay where it is for now, remaining the responsibility of the corporations that created it.

The projected routes across the U.S. by truck would affect 43 states and 3/4 of the population.

Draft EIS quotes the estimate of possible traffic fatalities, but nothing is said about possible release of radioactive material as the claim that the casks have been thoroughly tested and cannot break or leak.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Marian Scully