



Kathy Gere <k_gere@hotmail.com> on 01/08/2008 03:51:40 AM

To: <eis_office@ymp.gov>
cc:
Subject: Yucca Mountain

LSN: Relevant - Not Privileged
User Filed as: Excl/AdminMgmt-14-4/QA:N/A

1 [I have many concerns about the Global Nuclear Energy Partnership but I will only speak about two here transportation safety and appropriateness of the Yucca Mountain site.

I live in IL, with 14 Nuclear reactors in our state alone. To the north and east of us there are additional nuclear facilities. As a state IL will bare a huge burden of risk from the transport of high level nuclear waste through our communities. Even one small incident has the potential to leave whole communities and regions uninhabitable for thousands of years. This is not a risk that we need to take on. There are safer alternatives. Harden on site storage. This method has been recommended by leading scientists and will be a temporary solution until the technology can be developed to deal with the the deadly radioactive waste in a safer manner.]

2 [My second point of concern is about the use of Yucca Mountain because it is a highly unstable geological formation. The site cannot guarantee safety for the thousands of years necessary for the waste to decay to a safe level. This will effect future generations long after we are gone. Additionally, if there is any kind of leak the water and land in the Yucca Mountains will be destroyed. I will not be directly affected by the a leak at the Yucca Mountain site however my concern for my fellow human being, the wild life and environment does not end at my back yard therefore I do not want this hazard to be thrust upon the communities located near the Yucca site.]

Kathy Gere
707-364-2833
1111 E Warrenville Rd, Suite 200
Naperville, IL 60563

Put your friends on the big screen with Windows Vista® + Windows Live™. [Start now!](#)