



Eileen McCabe <leenaree@communitymail.net> on 01/10/2008 12:37:53 PM

RRR000929

Please respond to leenaree@communitymail.net

To: EIS_Office@ymp.gov

CC:

Subject: Comments to DOE on the Draft Repository SEIS, Draft Nevada Rail Corridor SEIS, and Draft Rail Alignment EIS

LSN: Relevant - Not Privileged

User Filed as: Excl/AdminMgmt-14-4/QA:N/A

Comments to DOE on the YM Draft Repository SEIS, Draft Nevada Rail Corridor SEIS, and Draft Rail Alignment EIS

1 [Request for Extension of the Comment Period

DOE should extend the public comment period by 60 additional days, given that these environmental impact documents are a foot thick altogether. The public needs additional time to digest the proposals, analyses, and references, and to compare and contrast them with the three foot thick "Final" EIS published by DOE in 2002, in order to give meaningful comments. Key documents that would have assisted the public in formulating responses were not posted to the Licensing Support Network until October 2007.]

2 [Risks From Transportation of Radioactive Waste

Shipping tens of thousands of high-level radioactive waste trucks, trains, and barges through 45 states and the District of Columbia risks severe accidents and terrorist attacks. This could release catastrophic amounts of deadly radioactivity in major population centers. These waste transports would represent potential Mobile Chernobyls and dirty bombs on wheels rolling past the homes of millions of Americans. Each truck cask of irradiated nuclear fuel would contain 350,000 curies of radioactive cesium and strontium, or about 20 to 30 times the amount of these harmful fission products released by the Hiroshima atomic bomb. Every dedicated train hauling three or four rail casks would contain more radioactive cesium-137 than the total amount released during the Chernobyl nuclear catastrophe. DOE must integrate into its Yucca Mountain transport analysis its own proposals, under the Bush administration's "Global Nuclear Energy Partnership" (GNEP), for waste imports from overseas, and waste shipments to reprocessing (plutonium extraction) centers in the U.S. before waste shipments to Yucca for final disposal. DOE must also analyze the increased transport risks from its proposal to nearly double the amount of waste to be buried at Yucca to 130,000 metric tons, which on its face violates the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, as amended, which limits the amount of waste that could be buried at the first repository to 70,000 metric tons. Despite industry claims of incident free shipping of nuclear waste for decades, the facts speak for themselves:

In July 2007, a canister containing liquid waste was mistakenly shipped to WIPP which is not permitted to take liquid waste. The cask was several rows back before staff determined the error, and the cask had to be retrieved and sent back to Idaho.

In June 2006, a train hauling waste from the decommissioned Big Rock Point power plant derailed in Michigan. The hauler was unaware of the radioactivity of the contents, and officials at Big Rock Point could not agree on what the contents were. Local emergency response teams did not know what diagnostics to perform or remedial action to take.

In February 2006, radioactive water being shipped from San Onofre Nuclear Station to the EnergySolutions facility in Clive Utah leaked onto the parking lot of a service station in southern Utah. The concrete in the parking lot had to be removed, ground up and interred in Clive as well.

In December 2005 a truck containing empty canisters from WIPP jackknifed on the highway, and the empty cask came loose rolling across the highway until it came to rest on the median.

These are only a few of the documented accidents in the past 2 years. In looking at the maps of proposed transportation routes, the size and quality of the roads and rail beds vary considerably. If road or bridge improvements are required along designated routes, who will bear the cost of these improvements?

3 [TAD Canisters and Handling of Already Packaged Waste

DOE proposed the equivalent of the TAD (Transport, Aging, and Disposal) canisters in the early to mid-1990s, under the name of MPC (multi-purpose canisters). DOE needs to completely explain why it is attempting to revive an idea it had dismissed as unworkable over a decade ago. How will waste handling errors at reactors, especially involving defective TADs and damaged irradiated nuclear fuel, worsen transport risks, as well as radioactivity releases at Yucca over time? DOE must also explain the disconnect between its GNEP proposal to reprocess wastes, and its current Yucca proposal to permanently seal shut wastes at reactors in TAD containers. Given that there are multiple approved TAD designs; how will these differences be handled? Will repackaging be required? Where would this be done? This is not addressed in the SEIS.

DOE has selected four companies to design the TAD canisters, including Holtec International. But a whistleblower from the largest U.S. nuclear utility has alleged and extensively documented since 2000 that Holtec's waste storage/transport containers seriously violate federal quality assurance (QA) regulations. This calls into question the containers' structural integrity, especially under transport accident conditions. This industry whistleblower is entirely backed up by a retired U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission safety engineer and dry cask storage expert. How can DOE give such a contract to a company that so violates QA, especially after DOE's own extensive QA violations at the Yucca Mountain Project?]

A [Illegality of Recent Proposed Changes to Allow Interim Storage

DOE must explain why it has proposed "aging pads" at Yucca Mountain, when the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, as amended, prohibits an interim monitored retrievable storage site co-located in the same state as the repository? DOE's proposal is actually illegal, in that it attempts to place all of the burdens (both interim storage and permanent disposal) on one state.]

5 [Analysis of Seismic Risks

DOE needs to fully analyze the earthquake risks at its proposed interim storage site at Yucca, especially considering the earthquake fault line recently discovered directly under DOE's original "aging" pad location. A September 25 Associated Press article quoted seismologist Leon Reiter, who spoke before an oversight panel, who said more than 10 faults within a 20-mile radius of Yucca Mountain could generate ground motion. He said one fault, the Solitario Canyon just west of the planned repository, is capable of producing an earthquake with a magnitude of about 6.5. Within Western Shoshone oral tradition are tales of Snake Mountain, changing direction.]

6 [Security

On December 19, 2007, the NRC sent out a notice seeking public comment on proposed security requirements for a nuclear waste repository, indicating that these security requirements are not set, and therefore cannot be addressed adequately at this time.]

7 [Illegal Land Use

All of the land at the Yucca Mountain dump project is within the treaty lands of the Western Shoshone Indian Nation, as affirmed by the "Peace and Friendship" Treaty of Ruby Valley, signed by the U.S. government in 1863. Treaties are declared by the U.S. Constitution to be the supreme law of the land, equal in stature to the Constitution itself. As the Western Shoshone Nation opposes radioactive waste dumping at its sacred Yucca Mountain, where traditional ceremonies have continued to be conducted right up to recent years, DOE should terminate the Yucca Mountain Project for this reason alone. The United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination ruled in March of 2006 that the Yucca Mountain Project represents a human rights violation against the indigenous Western Shoshone Nation, and has urged the U.S. government to cease and desist its activities there. The Yucca Mountain dump proposal represents blatant environmental racism, as stated by Ian Zabarte of the Western Shoshone National Council at DOE's recent Las Vegas and Washington, D.C. hearings.

8 [Inadequate Assessment of Cultural Impact

The Timbisha tribe and other Western Shoshone bands have conducted spring renewal ceremonies on Yucca Mountain for an unknown time, and continue to do so into the western portion they are still able to access. Adjacent areas are designated for cultural activities, such as harvesting medicinal plants, traditional foods and basketry materials. The DOE must assess and analyze impacts to the tribe's drinking water supply, impacts from truck transport of nuclear waste through tribal lands, socio-economic impacts, impacts to cultural and religious resources and environmental justice issues. According to the Sacred Land Film Project http://www.sacredland.org/Endangered_Sites_pages/yucca_mountain.html. To the Western Shoshone Yucca Mountain is Snake Mountain, a place with rock prayer rings that transmit prayers to the Great Spirit and messages back to the people. Shoshone spiritual leader Corbin Harney tells of a traditional story that Snake Mountain will one day be awakened and split open, spewing out poison. This prophecy may predict the potential disaster of volcanic activity and nuclear waste leakage. Shoshone ancestors are buried in the mountain and the water in the area is sacred, as it is with many desert peoples.

Environmental Racism

Government work has already disturbed burial remains and denied Native Americans access to the rock prayer rings. The Yucca Mountain controversy is rarely acknowledged as one that, at its heart, is about native sovereignty and the need to care for the land in a way that is spiritually responsible and environmentally sound.]

9 [Water Use and Risk of Contamination

A federal judge, ruling against DOE and in favor of the State of Nevada over DOE's illegal use of water at the Yucca Mountain Project, recently concluded that DOE either is engaging in "busy work" at the site (wasting not only water, but also Nuclear Waste Fund monies), or else it misled Congress and the President in 2002 that site characterization had concluded at the site when DOE announced the site suitable for a high-level radioactive waste dump. The Nuclear Waste Policy Act, as amended, required DOE to apply for its license application on Oct. 23, 2002, assuming that DOE's site suitability determination would mean that DOE must be extremely close to ready to submit a complete license application. Yet, incredibly, over five years later, DOE has still not submitted its license application. DOE has known for over a decade that rainwater percolates relatively quickly through the proposed burial site, and risks fast corrosion of the waste containers that would be buried there. In fact, DOE scandalously did away with its own Site Suitability Guidelines that would have disqualified the site for this reason from any further consideration, just before declaring the site suitable. On July 16, 2007, the NRC sent out a notice of a meeting at which the water filtration studies and model would be discussed. In 2006 allegations surfaced of deliberate falsification of hydrological data. That the model is still under discussion, that there are allegations of fraud regarding reporting of data, and that now there are allegations of tampering with data to fit a predetermined model

all give concern over claims that water sources will not be contaminated.

The comments submitted by Jennifer Viereck of HOME, and available on their website www.home-e.org contain detailed technical information about groundwater flows, rivers and aquifers in the areas, and provides a good analysis of the complex relationships and impact to the Great Basin and Death Valley areas.

The Timbisha Shoshone tribe in the Death Valley area has tribal lands along Highway 127. Residential lands in the area receive their drinking water from springs that will be impacted if the Lower Carbonate Aquifer is contaminated.

DOE should analyze the health impacts of Yucca's radioactive waste leakage into the drinking water supply below on the most vulnerable individuals and populations downstream, including pregnant women, fetuses, infants, children, the elderly, others with compromised immune systems, as well as Western Shoshone people living traditional lifestyles and subsistence farmers living downstream in the future, and persons consuming foodstuffs (such as dairy products) grown nearby Yucca but exported elsewhere.]

10 [Radioactive Risk to the Public

The National Academy of Science reported recently, in its Seventh Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation (BEIR) report, that any dose of radiation, no matter how small, carries a health risk, and that in fact those risks at low doses are disproportionately high, significantly higher than previously reported. DOE has engaged with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, in secretive behind closed door meetings, to play a game of "hide the ball" from the public. All agencies, including DOE, must stop using statistical manipulations to hide the actual levels of radiation dose exposure and consequent health impacts that vulnerable individuals and populations downstream and downwind of the proposed Yucca Mountain dump would suffer over the next million years. DOE must stop using "Standard or Reference Man" (analyzing radiation dose health impacts on a young, healthy adult white male) and instead use "Standard or Reference Pregnant Woman."]

11 [Impact to Property Values and Local Economies

DOE should much more thoroughly analyze the negative impact on property values along all road, rail, and waterway routes across the continental United States that would be used to ship wastes to Yucca. Courts, juries, and socio-economic studies have found that property values decrease significantly near declared radioactive waste transport routes. DOE must identify in detail all routes it plans to use for shipping wastes to Yucca before proceeding any further with its attempt to obtain a license to build and operate the dump, and should hold hearings in every state thus impacted.]

12 [Conclusion

Nearly 1,000 environmental, public interest, consumer, and taxpayer organizations, as well as many cities, counties and even states -- representing many millions of Americans -- have expressed opposition to various aspects of the Yucca Mountain dump proposal over the past twenty years. DOE should declare the Yucca Mountain site unsuitable, terminate the project, return the land to its prior condition as well as to the stewardship of the Western Shoshone Nation, and seek guidance from Congress and the President on next steps for addressing the nuclear waste dilemma, as provided for in the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, as amended. DOE should heed the call by 150+ groups across the U.S., that high-level radioactive wastes stored on-site at reactors be safeguarded and secured against accidents, attacks, and leakage until a scientifically sound and socially acceptable long term waste management plan is arrived at through democratic and just means. Even Skip Bowman of the nuclear energy advocacy organization Nuclear Energy Institute supports on-site storage.

DOE must stop its attempt to rush the submission of its still half-baked licensing application by its arbitrary, capricious, self-imposed June 30, 2008 deadline. This is an obvious attempt to initiate the Yucca licensing proceeding before the pro-Yucca dump Bush administration leaves office, to make Yucca a "done deal" before the next (and possibly anti-Yucca dump) President enters the White House]

Sincerely,

Eileen McCabe
4696 S. Sunstone Rd #201
Taylorsville, UT 84123
801-201-0219
lccnarec@communitymail.net

Msg sent via Community Mail Center- <http://www.communitymail.net>
Free wind and solar powered email